Electrochemical Peptide Biosensor Based on in Situ Silver Deposition for Detection of Prostate Specific Antigen

Yi He, Shunbi Xie, Xia Yang, Ruo Yuan,* and Yaqin Chai*

Key Laboratory of Luminescent and Real-Time A[naly](#page-4-0)tical Chemistry, Mi[nis](#page-4-0)try of Education, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China

ABSTRACT: In this work, we have demonstrated a novel electrochemical method based on target-induced cleavage of a specific peptide for sensitive analysis of prostate specific antigen (PSA) by using silver enhancement. First, multiwalled carbon nanotubes/poly(amidoamine) dendrimers (MWCNTs−PAMAM) nanohybrids were assembled on the electrode to bind the peptide. Subsequently, dithiobis(succinimidylpropionate) (DSP) @ $Au(@SiO₂)$ was prepared as a tracing tag and covalent bond with the peptides via the inherent interaction between DSP and the amino of peptide. In the presence of PSA, the peptide was specifically recognized and cleaved, resulting in the loss of the tracing tag in electrode surface. Thereafter, silver enhancement was performed on the left $\text{DSP}@Au@SiO_2$ nanohybrids. The electrochemical stripping signal of the deposited silver was used to monitor this process. Under optimal conditions, the proposed biosensor achieved a

wide line from 0.001 to 30 ng mL $^{-1}$ with a detection limit of 0.7 pg mL $^{-1}$. This work demonstrated the combination of the direct transduction of peptide cleavage events with the highly sensitive silver enhancement method, providing a promising effective strategy for PSA detection.

KEYWORDS: prostate specific antigen, peptide, Ag deposition, electrochemical biosensor, multiwalled carbon nanotubes/poly(amidoamine) dendrimers, dithiobis(succinimidylpropionate) (DSP)@Au@SiO₂

1. INTRODUCTION

Prostatic carcinoma is found to be the second most common $cancer¹$ and one man out of six is diagnosed with this deadly malignancy, 2 but up to now, there is still no effective treatment for it. Thus, early and precise detection of tumor markers provides a t[he](#page-5-0)rapy hope for the treatment of prostate cancer. $3-6$ Prostate specific antigen (PSA), which is secreted by prostatic epithelial cells, has been proved to be the best available tu[mor](#page-5-0) marker for early diagnosis of prostate cancer.⁷ When the concentration of PSA is up to 2 ng mL⁻¹, it suggests that there is a risk of prostate cancer, even though the norm[al](#page-5-0) cutoff value of PSA is 4 ng mL[−]¹ 8,9 So far, many traditional immunoassay . methods have been developed to detect PSA, such as $colorimetric, ^{10,11}$ fl[uor](#page-5-0)escence, 12 surface-enhanced Raman scattering, 13 electrochemical, 14 chemiluminescence, 15 and electrogenerated [che](#page-5-0)mlumin[e](#page-5-0)scence (ECL) ,^{16,17} which mainly involve s[pec](#page-5-0)ial antibody−a[ntig](#page-5-0)en recognition. Ho[we](#page-5-0)ver, antibodies are easily denatured with temperat[ure c](#page-5-0)hanges and the assays usually require multiple steps and long incubation time.¹⁸

To overcome these shortcomings, a specific peptide with the amino acid sequence HSSKLQ, which can be selectiv[ely](#page-5-0) cleaved by PSA, is identified to be a promising alternative for detection of PSA. Compared to antibody, the peptides are more stable, easier to overcome harsh environments and more amenable to synthesize at the molecular level. 18 Since Denmeade et al. identified the specific peptide (HSSKLQ), which is used as a substrate to detect PSA in ex[tra](#page-5-0)cellular

fluids,¹⁹ there are several peptide-based methods for the measurement of PSA, including fluorescence,^{20,21} ECL,²² and the e[lec](#page-5-0)trochemical method. $23,24$ Among them, the electrochemical peptide-based methods have attra[cted](#page-5-0) consi[der](#page-5-0)able attention for PSA detection [for](#page-5-0) its easy controllability. For example, Zhao et al. developed a ferrocene-functionalized helix peptide (CHSSKLQK)-based electrochemical biosensor using the direct transduction of peptide cleavage events into an electrochemical signal to simply and sensitively detect PSA.²³ Although this method is simple and easy to control, the sensitivity is not satisfactory due to the small current signal. [So,](#page-5-0) it is an urgent task to develop a signal amplification method to achieve a high sensitivity for an electrochemical peptide sensor.

The signal amplifications and signal outputs are the two most important factors for an electrochemical method. The silver deposition is a widely used signal output way in electrochemistry due to the precision of the detection. In the meantime nanomaterial plays a crucial role in silver deposition for signal amplifications, Au nanoparticles (AuNPs)-catalyzed Ag deposition is reported to amplify the anodic stripping signal for the good biocompatibility and electrical conductivity.^{25,26} By this method, silver nucleated onto the Au nanoparticles leads to a continuous deposition of silver, finally a w[hole](#page-5-0)

Received: February 28, 2015 Accepted: June 2, 2015 Published: June 2, 2015

coverage formed on the entire structure.²⁷ In addition, $SiO₂$ nanospheres have obtained great interest for the application in biosensing for its nice chemical and me[cha](#page-5-0)nical stability and extensive large surface area. So in this work, AuNPs were in situ formed on the surface of $SiO₂$ nanospheres (Au@SiO₂), which catalyze the Ag deposition to amplify the signal for improving sensitivity of the biosensor.

Here we proposed an electrochemical peptide cleavage-based biosensor for the determination of PSA by coupling with Au@ $SiO₂$ to amplify the anodic stripping signal of deposition Ag. In the presence of PSA, the peptide can be specifically recognized and cleaved resulting in release of the tracing tag from electrode surface. Thereafter, silver deposition was performed on the left dithiobis (succinimidylpropionate) $(DSP)@Au@SiO₂ compo$ sites. The electrochemical stripping signal of the deposited silver was used to monitor this process. When the concentration of PSA increased, the signal of the deposited silver decreased accordingly. With MWCNTs−PAMAM nanohybrid as a sensor platform, the biosensor can immobilize a large amount of peptide to widen the linear range. Moreover, the nanohybrid can facilitate electron transfer to improve the signal response. With the above advantages, the peptide biosensor has achieved a wide linear range and high sensitivity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials and Reagents. MWCNTs were purchased from Chengdu Organic Chemicals Co., Ltd. of the Chinese Academy of Science (Chengdu, China). Poly(amino−amine) dendrimers (G3 PAMAM) were purchased from Weihai CY Dendrimer Technology

Co., Ltd. (Weihai, China), dithiobis(succinimidylpropionate) (DSP) was obtained from Heowns (Tianjin, China). Chloroauric acid (HAuCl4), N-succinimidyl-3-(2-pyridyldithiol)propionate (SPDP), trisodium citrate, silver nitrate $(AgNO₃)$, hydroquinone (HQ) and Tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The peptide (CEHSSKLQLAK-NH₂) was synthesized and purified by Shanghai Science Peptide Biological Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). And the PSA from human semen was obtained from Biocell (Zhengzhou, China). 0.1 M phosphate buffered solution (PBS, pH 7.0) was prepared containing 0.1 M Na_2HPO_4 , 0.1 M KH_2PO_4 and 0.1 M KCl. All other chemicals were of reagent grade and used as received. The solutions used in this experiment were prepared using ultrapure water (specific resistance of 18 MΩ \cdot cm).

2.2. Apparatus. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) measurements were realized through a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai, China). All electrochemical experiments were performed in a conventional threeelectrode system that consisted of a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode and a bare or modified glass carbon electrode (GCE, $\Phi = 4$ mm) as the working electrode. The measurement of LSV was performed in 1.0 M KCl solution with the scanning rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. . The morphologies of nanoparticles were taken with scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S-4800, Hitachi, Japan).

2.3. Preparation of DSP@Au@SiO₂ Composites. The SiO₂ nanoparticles were prepared according to the literature, 28 3.0 mL 28% (v/v) ammonia aqueous solution was added into 50.0 mL of absolute ethanol and the mixtures were stirred for 5 min. A[nd](#page-5-0) then 1.5 mL of 6.7 mM tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) was added dropwise into above solution with continuous stirring until the color of mixtures changed from colorless to ivory.

Figure 1. SEM images of (a) SiO₂. Inset: enlarged image of panel a; (b) Au@SiO₂; (c) MWCNTs–PAMAM.

A typical synthesis of $Au@SiO₂$ nanocomposites included three steps. First, 50 μ L of 0.28 mM APTMS was added into the SiO₂ solution under stirring for 2 h to obtain NH_2 -functionalized nano- $SiO₂$. Second, 1 mL of 1% $HAuCl₄$ was dropped into 2 mL of functionalized $SiO₂$ solution and the solution was stirred for 10 min. Third, 1 mL of 0.1 M NaBH4 solution was added slowly under the condition of vigorous stirring, and the deposit was collected by centrifugation and then washed with ultrapure water several times.

The DSP@Au@SiO₂ was synthesized according to the following steps. 100 μ L of 2 mM DSP was added into 2 mL of Au ω SiO₂ suspension and then sonicated for 30 min to form a homogeneous suspension. To complete the reaction, the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. After that, the $\text{DSP}(\partial \text{Au}(\partial \text{SiO}_2)$ suspension was subject to centrifugation and redispersed in 2 mL of ultrapure water for further use.

2.4. Preparation of MWCNTs-PAMAM. Preparation of MWCNTs modified by COOH groups was performed in following procedure.²⁹ 10 mg of MWCNTs was put into 10 mL of a mixture $(H₂SO₄:HNO₃)$ in a volume ratio 3:1 and vigorously sonicated for 2 h. After this [rea](#page-5-0)ction, the sample of MWCNTs−COOH was centrifuged and washed several times with ultrapure water until the solution reached neutral in order to eliminate acid residues. Afterward, 1 mg of the functionalized MWCNTs and 100 μ L of 0.01 M amination-PAMAM were suspended in 2 mL of ultrapure water and sonicated to obtain a homogeneous black solution (MWCNTs−PAMAM).

2.5. Fabrication of the Modified Electrodes. Prior to use, a bare GCE electrode was carefully polished to mirror with 0.3 and 0.05 μ m alumina powder and sonicated with ethanol and ultrapure water for a few minutes. First, the amino groups of MWCNTs−PAMAM were activated by the heterobifunctional cross-linker SPDP, and then 5 μL of the amino activated MWCNTs−PAMAM solution was selfassembled onto the electrode surface to increase the effective area and conductivity of the electrode. Subsequently, 20 μ L of thiol-terminated peptide was dropped onto the surface of the MWCNTs−PAMAM film and incubated for 1 h at room temperature to conjugate with MWCNTs−PAMAM through SPDP as a linker. Finally, the DSP@ Au ω SiO₂ could be immobilized stably through the inherent interaction between DSP and the amino of peptide. After each step, the modified electrode was entirely cleaned with ultrapure water. The finished biosensor was stored at 4 °C prior to electrochemical characterization. Scheme 1 shows the schematic illustration of the biosensor fabrication process.

2.6. Detection of PSA. To carry out the detection process, the biosensor was first incuba[te](#page-1-0)d with 15 μ L of PSA standard solution of different concentrations for 40 min at 37 °C. After the biosensor was washed with ultrapure water, silver-deposition enhancement was performed by dropping 10 μ L mixtures of enhancer solutions (1.0 g HQ, 35 mg AgNO3, 50 mL citrate buffer and 50 mL ultrapure water) on the biosensor surface for 3 min at room temperature in a dark incubator. The biosensor was finally washed with ultrapure water to perform the linear sweep voltammetry in 1.0 M KCl solution with the rate of 50 mV s^{-1} for recording the response.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characterization of Different Nanomaterials. Typical SEM images for the $SiO₂$ nanospheres shown in Figure 1a revealed that the nanospheres had good uniformity with an average size of about 160 nm. When AuNPs were in situ reduced onto $SiO₂$ (Figure 1b), the SEM image showed that many AuNPs are uniformly distributed on the surface of SiO₂ nanospheres. The SEM image of MWCNTs–PAMAM displayed a well-dispersed tubular structure (Figure 1c).

3.2. Electrochemical Characterization of the Stepwise Modified Electrodes. The fabrication process of the peptide biosensor was characterized by CV measurement in 5 mM $[Fe(CN)₆]$ ^{3-/4-} solution with a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. As is shown in Figure 2, the well-defined redox peaks of [Fe-

Figure 2. Electrochemical characterization of the different modified electrodes: (a) bare GCE, (b) MWCNTs−PAMAM/GCE, (c) peptide/MWCNTs−PAMAM/GCE, (d) DSP@Au@SiO₂/peptide/ MWCNTs−PAMAM/GC and (e) after the biosensor incubated with PSA in 5 mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ solution.

 $(CN)_{6}]^{3-74-}$ were obtained at a bare GCE (curve a). When MWCNTs−PAMAM was coated on the electrode, the peak current of the modified electrode increased (curve b), demonstrating that MWCNTs−PAMAM could accelerate the electron transfer efficiently. After peptide was assembled (peptide/MWCNTs−PAMAM/GCE), the peak current obviously decreased (curve c), which is due to the diffusion block of peptide. And the result illustrated that peptide had been successfully immobilized on the MWCNTs−PAMAM nanohybrid. The current response of $[\rm{Fe(CN)_6}]^{3-/4-}$ on the $\rm{DSP}(\varpi)$ Au@SiO2/peptide/MWCNTs−PAMAM/GCE (curve d) was further decreased, indicating that the $\text{DSP}(\varpi \text{Au}(\varpi \text{SiO}_2$ was successfully cross-linked with peptide through the reaction between DSP and peptide. After incubation with a certain concentration of PSA, some of the $\text{DSP}(\partial \text{Au}(\partial \text{SiO}_2$ were released into the solution, leading to an increased peak current (curve e).

3.3. Optimization of Assay Conditions. To increase the sensitivity and selectivity of the biosensor, the optimization of the incubation time of the peptide modified electrode in PSA solution was investigated. The PSA incubation time is an important parameter affecting the analytical performance of biosensor. At room temperature, the CV response signal for PSA (10 ng mL⁻¹) increased with the reaction time up to 40 min and then leveled off after 40 min (Figure 3), which showed the saturated bind between the peptide and PSA. Therefore, 40 min of incubation time was used for the detection of PSA.

Figure 3. Optimization of the incubation time of target PSA.

3.4. Analytical Performance of the Biosensor for Detection of PSA. Under optimum conditions, the stripping peak current of AgNPs deposited on the DSP@Au $@SiO₂$ nanohybrid decreased with increasing concentration of PSA (Figure 4A). The calibration plot showed a good linear relationship between the stripping peak current and the logarithm of the PSA concentration in the range from 0.001 ng mL[−]¹ to 30 ng mL[−]¹ with a correlation coefficient of 0.9902 (Figure 4B). And the regression equation is $I = -1.5295$ lg $c +$ 4.587 29 (where c is the concentration of PSA). The detection limit for PSA calculated according to IUPAC recommendation was 0.7 pg mL^{-1} at $3\sigma^{30,31}$ In addition, the analytical performance of the developed biosensor for PSA detection has been compared with [other](#page-5-0) biosensors reported in the literatures, which were summarized in Table 1.16,22,23,32−³⁵ From Table 1, our proposed biosensor showed a higher sensitivity and wider linear range, which provided [a powerful](#page-5-0) evidence of our strategy for highly sensitive detection of PSA. The good performance of this proposed biosensor may be caused by the follows: (1) The high sensitivity of silver enhancement and using $Au@SiO₂$ nanocomposites as tracing tags enhance the signal amplification. (2) MWCNTs−PAMAM

Table 1. Proposed Biosensor Performance Compared with Other Biosensors for PSA Detection

		analytical method detection method linear range $(ng \text{ mL}^{-1})$	refs
ELISA	$8 \text{ pg} \text{ mL}^{-1}$	$2.1 - 10$	32
ECL	0.33 ng mL ⁻¹	$1.0 - 40$	22
SWV	$0.2 \text{ ng } \text{mL}^{-1}$	$0.5 - 40$	23
ECL	0.8 pg mL ⁻¹	$0.005 - 0.5$	33
EIS	1 pg m L^{-1}	$0.001 - 1000$	34
ECL	1 pg mL^{-1}	$0.001 - 100$	35
ECL	40 pg mL^{-1}	$0.04 - 5$	16
LSV	0.7 pg mL ⁻¹	$0.001 - 30$	this work

has good conductivity to improve the electronic t[ran](#page-5-0)sport efficiency and large surface area to immobilize more peptide. In order to elucidate that, we quantitatively detect the electroactive surface area of MWCNTs−PAMAM modified electrode by recording CVs at different potential scan rates with $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-74-}$ serving as redox probes (Figure 5). The

Figure 5. CVs of MWCNTs−PAMAM modified GCE in 5.0 mM $[Fe(CN)₆]$ ^{3-/4-} at different scan rates from 10 to 250 mV s⁻¹. Insets show the linear relations of the MWCNTs−PAMAM modified GCEs with the anodic and cathodic peak current against the square root of scan rate.

electro-active surface area (22.88 mm^2) for the MWCNTs− PAMAM electrode was calculated according to the Randles− Sevcik equation^{36,37} $I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 AD^{1/2} n^{3/2} v^{1/2} C$, in which A is the electrode area, \overline{D} is the diffusion coefficient (at 25 °C, D $= 6.70 \times 10^{-6}$ cm² s⁻¹), *n* is the number of electrons transferred in the redox reaction $(n = 1)$, C is the concentration of the reactant (5 mM Fe $(CN)_{6}^{3-/4-}$), I_{p} refers to the redox peak current and ν is the scan rate of the CV measurement. This indicated that MWCNTs−PAMAM owns a larger electro-active

Figure 4. (A) Typical LSV of the biosensors with increasing concentration of PSA (ng mL $^{-1}$) from a to i: (a) 0, (b) 0.001, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.1, (e) 1, (f) 5, (g) 10, (h) 20 and (i) 30; (B) Calibration curve of the biosensor for detection of PSA in the range between 0.001 and 30 ng mL⁻¹. .

surface area compared with the surface area (12.56 mm^2) of bare glassy carbon electrode.

3.5. Selectivity, Reproducibility and Stability of the Biosensor. To evaluate the specificity of the electrochemical biosensor, we challenged the biosensor with other possible interferences such as bovine serum albumin (BSA), hemoglobin (HB), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and human alphafetoprotein (AFP) in the same conditions. And the results are exhibited in Figure 6. When the biosensor was incubated with

Figure 6. Selectivity of the proposed electrochemical biosensor. The concentrations of CEA, AFP, HB and BSA were 10 ng mL⁻¹. The mixture is containing CEA (20 ng mL $^{-1}$), AFP (20 ng mL $^{-1}$), BSA (20 ng mL⁻¹), HB (20 ng mL⁻¹) and PSA (1 ng mL⁻¹).

20 ng mL⁻¹ BSA, HB, CEA and AFP solution, respectively, no apparent change of the current was observed compared to the blank test (no target molecular) in the same testing conditions. However, when PSA was coexisted with the interferences, the electrochemical response was almost the same as that with only PSA. All these results indicating that the proposed biosensor has a good specificity for PSA.

To evaluate the reproducibility of the biosensor, we investigated the biosensor through the coefficient of variation of the intra- and interassays. When the biosensor was investigated by using four electrodes to test the same concentration of PSA $(10 \text{ ng } \text{mL}^{-1})$ at the same conditions, the relative standard deviation (RSD) was 5.7% (Figure 7A). When a same electrode was used to test repetitively for four times at a certain concentration of PSA (10 $\text{ng }\text{mL}^{-1}$), the RSD was 6.8% (Figure 7B). Furthermore, after storage at 4 °C for 15 days, the biosensor can still retain 90% of the initial response for a same concentration of PSA (Figure 7C). These results

showed acceptable reproducibility and good stability of our proposed method.

3.6. Analysis of Clinical Serum Specimens. We evaluated the recovery in different concentrations of PSA solutions diluted in a healthy human real serum sample (acquired from Ninth People's Hospital of Chongqing, China) (Table 2). The obtained results showed satisfactory recoveries

in the range of 100.2% to 107.2% and the RSD values from 0.92% to 4.58%. The results suggested that the biosensor has a promising potential application for the detection of PSA in clinical analysis.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, with the target-induced cleavage of peptide, a novel electrochemical biosensor for sensitive detection of PSA has been successfully constructed. At the same time, by employing silver and $Au@SiO₂$ enhancement, the biosensor can achieve a wide liner range and low detection limit. The good performance of the proposed biosensor should be attributed to the following reasons. First, a short peptide is used to replace traditional antibodies for PSA detection because of the good stability and the ability to overcome difficult environments. Second, $Au@SiO₂$ -mediated silver enhancement improved the precision and sensitivity of the detection. Moreover, the MWCNTs−PAMAM nanohybrid modified electrode not only promoted the electron transfer but also provided a large surface area for immobilize specific peptide. In view of the above advantages, we anticipate that this high sensitive and selective method has potential to be applied in clinical applications.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*R. Yuan. E-mail: yuanruo@swu.edu.cn. Fax: +86-23- 68253172. Tel.: +86-23-68252277.

*Y. Q. Chai. E-mai[l: yqchai@swu.edu.cn](mailto:yuanruo@swu.edu.cn). Fax: +86-23- 68253172. Tel.: +86-23-68252277.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the NNSF of China (21275119, 51473136) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (XDJK2013A008, XDJK2014A012, SWU114079, XDJK2015C099), China.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Bratt, O.; Haggman, M.; Ahlgren, G. Open-Label, Clinical Phase I Studies of Tasquinimod in Patients with Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. Br. J. Cancer 2009, 101, 1233−1240.

(2) Chen, X. Q.; Zhou, G. B.; Song, P.; Wang, J. J.; Gao, J. M.; Lu, J. X.; Fan, C. H.; Zuo, X. L. Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Detection of Prostate-Specific Antigen by Using Antibodies Anchored on DNA Nanostructural Scaffold. Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 7337−7342.

(3) Mohan, K.; Donavan, K. C.; Arter, J. A.; Penner, R. M.; Weiss, G. A. Sub-Nanomolar Detection of Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen in Synthetic Urine by Synergistic, Dual-Ligand Phage. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7761−7767.

(4) Nam, J. M.; Thaxton, C. S.; Mirkin, C. A. Nanoparticle-based Bio-Bar Codes for the Ultrasensitive Detection of Proteins. Science 2003, 301, 1884−1886.

(5) Tavoosidana, G.; Ronquist, G.; Darmanis, S.; Yan, J. H.; Carlsson, L.; Wu, D.; Conze, T.; Ek, P.; Semjonow, A. E. Multiple Recognition Assay Reveals Prostasomes as Promising Plasma Biomarkers for Prostate Cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011, 108, 8809−8814.

(6) Thaxton, C. S.; Elghanian, R.; Thomas, A. D.; Stoeva, S. I.; Lee, J. S.; Smith, N. D.; Schaeffer, A. J.; Klocker, H.; Horninger, W.; Bartsch, G.; Mirkin, C. A. Nanoparticle-based Bio-Barcode Assay Redefines "Undetectable" PSA and Biochemical Recurrence after Radical Prostatectomy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106, 18437−18442.

(7) Catalona, W. J.; Smith, D. S.; Ratliff, T. L.; Dodds, K. M.; Coplen, D. E.; Yuan, J. J.; Petros, J. A.; Andriole, G. L. Measurement of Prostate-Specific Antigen in Serum as a Screening Test for Prostate Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 1991, 324, 1156−1161.

(8) Thompson, I. M.; Pauler, D. K.; Goodman, P. J.; Tangen, C. M.; Lucia, M. S.; Parnes, H. L.; Minasian, L. M.; Ford, L. G.; Lippman, S. M.; Crawford, E. D.; Crowley, J. J.; Coltman, C. A. Prevalence of Prostate Cancer among Men with a Prostate-Specific Antigen Level < or = 4.0 ng per Milliliter. N. Engl. J. Med. 2004, 350, 2239−2246.

(9) Chan, D. W.; Bruzek, D. J.; Oesterlng, J. E.; Rock, R. C.; Walsh, P. C. Prostate-Specific Antigen as a Marker for Prostatic Cancer: A Monoclonal and a Polyclonal Immunoassay Compared. Clin. Chem. 1987, 33, 1916−1920.

(10) Cao, C.; Li, X.; Lee, J.; Sim, S. J. Homogenous Growth of Gold Nanocrystals for Guantification of PSA Protein Biomarker. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2009, 24, 1292−1297.

(11) Qua, F.l.; Li, T.; Yang, M. H. Colorimetric Platform for Visual Detection of Cancer Biomarker Based on Intrinsic Peroxidase Activity of Graphene Oxide. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2011, 26, 3927−3931.

(12) Liu, D. D.; Huang, X. L.; Wang, Z. T.; Jin, A.; Sun, X. L.; Zhu, L.; Wang, F.; Ma, Y.; Niu, G.; Angela, R.; Walker, H.; Chen, X. Y. Gold Nanoparticle-based Activatable Probe for Sensing Ultralow Levels of Prostate-Specific Antigen. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 5568−5576.

(13) Grubisha, D. S.; Lipert, R. J.; Park, H. Y.; Driskell, J.; Porter, M. D. Femtomolar Detection of Prostate-Specific Antigen: An Immunoassay Based on Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering and Immunogold Labels. Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 5936−5943.

(14) Zhang, S. S.; Du, P.; Li, F. Detection of Prostate Specific Antigen with 3,4-Diaminobenzoic Acid (DBA)- H_2O_2 -HRP Voltammetric Enzyme-Linked Immunoassay System. Talanta 2007, 72, 1487−1493.

(15) Zheng, Y.; Chen, H.; Liu, X. P.; Jiang, J. H.; Luo, Y.; Shen, G. L.; Yu, R. Q. An Ultrasensitive Chemiluminescence Immunosensor for PSA Based on the Enzyme Encapsulated Liposome. Talanta 2008, 77, 809−814.

(16) Sardesai, N.; Pan, S. M.; Rusling, J. Electrochemiluminescent Immunosensor for Detection of Protein Cancer Biomarkers Using Carbon Nanotube Forests and $[Ru-(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ -Doped Silica Nanoparticles. Chem. Commun. 2009, 33, 4968−4970.

(17) Sardesai, N. P.; Barron, J. C.; Rusling, J. F. Carbon Nanotube Microwell Array for Sensitive Electrochemiluminescent Detection of Cancer Biomarker Proteins. Anal. Chem. 2011, 83, 6698−6703.

(18) Iqbal, S. S.; Mayo, M. W.; Bruno, J. G.; Bronk, B. V.; Batt, C. A.; Chambers, J. P. A Review of Molecular Recognition Technologies for Detection of Biological Threat Agents. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2000, 15, 549−578.

(19) Denmeade, S. R.; Lou, W.; Lö vgren, J. Specific and Efficient Peptide Substrates for Assaying the Proteolytic Activity of Prostate-Specific Antigen. Cancer Res. 1997, 57, 4924−4930.

(20) Lee, K.; Mandal, S.; Morry, J.; Srivannavit, O.; Gulari, E.; Kim, J. A Conjugated Polymer-Peptide Hybrid System for Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Detection. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4528−4530.

(21) Choi, J. H.; Kim, H. S.; Choi, J. W.; Hong, J. W.; Kim, Y. K.; Oh, B. K. A Novel Au-Nanoparticle Biosensor for the Rapid and Simple Detection of PSA Using a Sequence-Specific Peptide Cleavage Reaction. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2013, 49, 415−419.

(22) Qi, H. L.; Wang, C.; Qiu, X. Y.; Gao, Q.; Zhang, C. X. Reagentless Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Peptide-based Biosensor for the Determination of Prostate-Specific Antigen. Talanta 2012, 100, 162−167.

(23) Zhao, N.; He, Y. Q.; Mao, X.; Sun, Y. H.; Zhang, X. B.; Li, C. Z.; Lin, Y. H.; Liu, G. D. Electrochemical Assay of Active Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Using Ferrocene-Functionalized Peptide Probes. Electrochem. Commun. 2010, 12, 471−474.

(24) Roberts, M. A.; Kelley, S. O. Ultrasensitive Detection of Enzymatic Activity with Nanowire Electrodes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11356−11357.

(25) Chu, X.; Fu, X.; Chen, K.; Shen, G. L.; Yu, R. Q. An Electrochemical Stripping Metalloimmunoassay Based on Silver-Enhanced Gold Nanoparticle Label. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2005, 20, 1805−1812.

(26) Chumbimuni-Torres, K. Y.; Dai, Z.; Rubinova, N.; Xiang, Y.; Pretsch, E.; Wang, J.; Bakker, E. Potentiometric Biosensing of Proteins with Ultrasensitive Ion-Selective Microelectrodes and Nanoparticle Labels. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13676−13677.

(27) Wang, J.; Polsky, R.; Xu, D. K. Silver-Enhanced Colloidal Gold Electrochemical Stripping Detection of DNA Hybridization. Langmuir 2001, 17, 5739−5741.

(28) Zou, J.; Xu, Y.; Hou, B.; Wu, D.; Sun, Y. H. Self-Assembly Ag2O Nanoparticles into Nanowires with the Aid of Amino-Functionalized Silica Nanoparticles. Powder Technol. 2008, 183, 122−126.

(29) Cui, L.; Wu, J.; Li, J.; Ge, Y. Q.; Ju, H. X. Electrochemical Detection of Cu^{2+} through Ag Nanoparticle Assembly Regulated by Copper-Catalyzed Oxidation of Cysteamine. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2014, 55, 272−277.

(30) IUPAC. Nomenclature, Symbols, Units and Their Usage in Spectrochemical Analysis - III. Analytical Flame Spectroscopy and Associated Non-flame Procedures. Pure Appl. Chem., 1976, 45, 105− 123.

(31) Long, G. L.; Winefordner, J. D. Limit of Detection: A Closer Look at the IUPAC Definition. Anal. Chem. 1983, 55, 712A−724A.

(32) Matsumoto, K.; Konishi, N.; Hiasa, Y.; Kimura, E.; Takahashi, Y.; Shinohara, K. H.; Samori, T. A Highly Sensitive Enzyme-Linked Immunoassay for Serum Free Prostate Specific Antigen (f-PSA). Clin. Chim. Acta 1999, 281, 57−69.

(33) Qi, H. L.; Li, M.; Dong, M. M.; Ruan, S. P.; Gao, Q.; Zhang, C. X. Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Peptide-based Biosensor for the Determination of Prostate-Specific Antigen Based on TargetInduced Cleavage of Peptide. Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 1372−1379.

(34) Suaifan, G. A.; Esseghaier, C.; Ng, A.; Zourob, M. Wash-less and Highly Sensitive Assay for Prostate Specific Antigen Detection. Analyst 2012, 137, 5614−5619.

(35) Feng, Q. M.; Pan, J. B.; Zhang, H. R.; Xu, J. J.; Chen, H. Y. Disposable Paper-based Bipolar Electrode for Sensitive Electrochemiluminescence Detection of a Cancer Biomarker. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10949−10951.

- (36) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. Electrochemical Methods Fundamentals and Applications; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1980.
- (37) Zanello, P. Inorganic Electrochemistry: Theory, Practice and Application; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, 2003.